BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In	the	Matter	of	the	Accus	sation
and	Pet	tition	to	Revol	ke Pro	bation
aga	inst	t:				

ROBERT IAN PICKER, M.D. 1929 Meadow Road Walnut Creek, CA 94595 Certificate No. G-019505

Respondent.

No. D-3264

DECISION

The attached Stipulation is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality as its Decision in this case, except that the Division hereby reduces the penalty by shortening the actual period of suspension from one year to six months. Paragraph 7(a) on page 3 of the Stipulation is amended to read as follows:

"(a) As part of probation, respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for six months beginning the effective date of this decision."

The effective date of this decision shall be

N7	
November 4, 1985	
troveniber 1, 1909	•

So ordered October 4, 1985

DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

Secretary-Treasurer

```
JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General
      of the State of California
    JOHN E. BARSELL, JR.
 2
      Deputy Attorney General
      6000 State Building
 3
      San Francisco, CÃ 94102
      Telephone: (415) 557-3629
 4
    Attorneys for Complainant
 7
               BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE
 8
                            STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 9
10
    In the Matter of the Accusation
11
    and Petition to Revoke Probation
                                                   NO. D-3264
    against:
12
                                               PROPOSED DECISION
      ROBERT IAN PICKER, M.D.
13
                                                  PURSUANT TO
      1929 Meadow Road
                                                  STIPULATION
      Walnut Creek, CA
                        94595
14
      Certificate No. G-019505
15
                         Respondent.
16
              IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Robert Ian Picker,
17
   M.D. (hereinafter "respondent"), by and through his attorney
   Dennis M. Warren and the Board of Medical Quality Assurance,
19
   Division of Medical Quality, State of California (hereinafter the
20
   "Board"), by and through its attorney John K. Van De Kamp, Attorney
21
   General of the State of California, by Deputy Attorney General
22
   John E. Barsell, Jr., as follows:
23
                  That respondent has received and read the accusation
              1.
24
   and Petition to Revoke Probation which is presently on file and
25
   pending in Case No. D-3264 before the Board.
26
   //
27
```

- 2. That respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the above-mentioned accusation and petition as grounds constituting cause for disciplinary action.
 - 3. That respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained in said accusation and petition, his right to reconsideration, to appeal and to any and all other rights which may be accorded him pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act, and that he hereby fully and voluntarily waives his right to a hearing, to reconsideration, to appeal and to any and all other rights which may be accorded him by the California Administrative Procedure Act with regard to said Accusation No. D-3264.
 - 4. That Kenneth J. Wagstaff, complainant in the case, as Executive Director of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance of the State of California, made and filed the accusation in his official capacity. On November 20, 1970, respondent was issued Physician and Surgeon Certificate No. G-19505 by the Board. Effective July 26, 1984 in Accusation No. D-3264, the Board took disciplinary action against respondent. Said decision suspended respondent's license for 90 days effective July 26, 1984 and placed respondent's license on probation for five years.
 - 5. All admissions of fact and conclusions of law contained in this stipulation are made exclusively for this proceeding and any future proceedings between the Board and respondent, and not for any purpose in any other administrative, civil or criminal action, forum or proceeding. Respondent admits for the purpose of this stipulation and waiver that the following factual allegations contained in Accusation No. N-80349 are true:

1.0

```
Respondent has violated the terms of his probation in
1
   that he committed the following acts during the period that his
   license was suspended:
              (a) On or about August 13, 1984 in the offices of Craig G.
4
   Phelps, Registered Physical Therapist, respondent held himself out
   as an "M.D." and "Physician" in violation of Business and Profes-
   sions Code section 2054.
   //
 8
 9 //
10 //
11 //
12 //
13 //
14 //
15 //
16://
17.//
18 //
19 //
20.//
21 //
22 //
23 //
24 //
25 //
26 //
```

OSP

27 //

1	(b) On or about August 13, 1984
2	Craig G. Phelps, Registered Physical Thera
3	attempted to practice medicine by treating
4	M in violation of section 2052 of
5	sions Code.
6	6. The conduct alleged above in
7	for disciplinary action against respondent
8	respondent's probation.
9	7. That, based on the foregoing
10	STIPULATED AND AGREED that the Division of
11	issue, as to said grounds for disciplinary
12	order:
13	The Certificate No. G-019505, iss
14	is hereby revoked; provided, however, that
15	stayed for a period of five (5) years, duri
16	shall be placed on probation, subject separ
17	the following terms and conditions:
18	(a) As part of probation, respond

in the offices of pist, respondent the knee of G the Business and Profes-

- paragraph 5 is grounds and grounds to revoke
- recitals, IT IS HEREBY Medical Quality may action, the following

sued to the respondent, said revocation is ing which time respondent cately and severally to

- lent is suspended from the practice of medicine for one year beginning the effective date of this decision.
- (b) The terms of probation set forth in this stipulation are in addition to and are to run concurrently with the terms of probation of the Board's decision of July 26, 1984.
- (c) On or about the time respondent completes his period of suspension set forth in subsection (a) above respondent shall take and pass an oral clinical examination in respondent's proposed scope of practice. The examination shall be administered by

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- $\mathbf{1}^{*}$ the Division or its designee. If respondent fails this examina-
- tion, respondent must wait three months between reexamination,
- except that after three failures respondent must wait one year to
- take each necessary reexamination thereafter. The Division shall
- 5 pay the cost of the first examination and respondent shall pay
- 6 the costs of any subsequent examinations. Respondent shall not
- 7 practice medicine until respondent has passed this examination
- 8 and has been so notified by the Division in writing.
- $_{10}$ (d) For a period of one year following respondent's suspension and passing the oral clinical examination, respondent
- is prohibited from engaging in solo practice. Prior to resuming
- practice respondent shall submit to the Division and receive its
- prior approval, a plan of practice limited to a supervised struc-
- tured environment in which respondent's activities will be over-
- seen and supervised by another physician.
- (e) Pespondent shall submit quarterly declarations under
- 17 penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating
- whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of
- 19 probation.
- (f) Respondent shall comply with the Division's proba-
- 21 tion surveillance program.
- (g) Respondent shall appear in person for interviews
- with the Division's medical consultant upon request at various
- 24 intervals and with reasonable notice.
- (h) In the event respondent should leave California to
- reside or to practice outside the State, respondent must notify
- in writing the Division of the dates of departure and return.
 - Periods of residency or practice outside California will not

1	apply to the reduction of this probationary period.
2	(i) Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local
3	laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine in
4	California.
5	(j) If respondent violates probation in any respect,
6	the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity
7	to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary
8	order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke
9	probation is filed against respondent during probation, the
10	Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is
11	final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the
12	matter is final.
1.3	(k) Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's
14	certificate will be fully restored.
15	8. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the terms
16	set forth herein shall be null and void, and in no way binding
17	upon the parties hereto, unless and until accepted by the Division
18	of Medical Quality, Board of Medical Quality Assurance of the
19	State of California
20	JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General of the State of California
21	of the state of carriornia
22	DATED: May 8, 1985 Gha Durell
23	Attorneys for Complainant
24	
25	DATED: 5,1/.85 DENNIS M. WARREN, Attorney for Respondent
26	
27	DATED: 5-8-85 ROBERT I. PICKER, Respondent
	- Lording, Medpointeric

1	I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this stipulation and
2	agreement in its entirety; that my attorney of record has fully
3	explained the legal significance and consequences thereof; that I
4	fully understand all of the same, and in witness thereof I affix
5	my signature this $8^{\frac{1}{10}}$ day of $\frac{may}{}$, 1985, at
6	San Francisco, California.
7	
8	
9	Lolt dan Priche m.o.
10	ROBERT IAN PICKER, M.D. Respondent
11	
12	
1.3	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
32	

6.

REDACTED

```
JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General
1
    of the State of California
   JOHN E. BARSELL, JR.
2
    Deputy Attorney General
     6000 State Building
3
     San Francisco, CA
     Telephone: (415) 557-3629
4
   Attorneys for Complainant
5
6
7
          BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE
8
                        STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 9
10
   In the Matter of the Accusation and
11
   Petition to Revoke Probation against:
                                                 NO. D-3264
                                               ACCUSATION AND PETITION
12
   ROBERT IAN PICKER
                                               TO REVOKE PROBATION
    1929 Meadow Road
13
    Walnut Creek, CA
                      94595
    Certificate No. G-019505
14
                             Respondent.
15
16
              Complainant, Kenneth J. Wagstaff, charges and alleges:
17
              1. He is the Executive Director of the Board of Medical
18
    Quality Assurance (Board) and makes these charges and allegations
 19
    in his official capacity.
 20
                   On November 20, 1970 respondent was issued Physicians
 21
    and Surgeons Certificate No. G-019505 by the Board. Effective
 22
    July 26, 1984 in Accusation No. D-3094, the Board took disciplinary
 23
     action against respondent. A copy of said decision of the Board
 24
     is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by refer-
 25
            Said decision suspended respondent's license for 90 days
 26
     effective July 26, 1984 and placed respondent's license on proba-
 27
```

tion for five years.

3. Respondent has violated the terms of his probation in that he committed the following acts during the period that his license was suspended:

- (a) On or about August 13, 1984 in the offices of Craig G. Phelps, Registered Physical Therapist, respondent held himself out as an "M.D." and "Physician" in violation of Business and Professions Code section 2054.
- (b) On or about August 13, 1984 in the offices of Craig G. Phelps, Registered Physical Therapist, respondent attempted to practice medicine by treating the knee of G. M. in violation of section 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.
- 4. The conduct alleged above in paragraph 3 is grounds for revocation of respondent's probation pursuant to the terms of Exhibit A and pursuant to section 2306 of the Business and Professions Code.
- 5. The conduct alleged above in paragraph 3 is grounds for disciplinary action against respondent pursuant to sections 2052 and 2054 in conjunction with section 2306 of the Business and Professions Code.

THEREFORE, it is requested that upon proof of the matters charged herein that respondent's license be revoked or suspended or that his probation be revoked.

DATED: November 9, 1984

KENNETH J. WAGSTAFF Executive Director, Board of Medical Quality Assurance

Complainant

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	
ROBERT IAN PICKER, M.D. Certificate No. G-19505,	NO. D-3094
Respondent.	

DECISION

The attached Stipulation is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This	Dec:	ision	shall	becom	e ef	fective	on _	July	26,	1984	
IT I	S S0	ORDER	RED	June	26,	1984		•			

DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

MILLER MEDEARIS Secretary-Treasurer

JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General 1 of the State of California 2 JOHN E. BARSELL, JR. Deputy Attorney General 6000 State Building 3 San Francisco, CA Telephone: (415) 557-3629 4 Attorneys for Complainant 5 6 7 BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE OF THE 8 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Accusation 11 against: 12 NO. D-3094 ROBERT IAN PICKER, M.D. 2640 College Avenue 13 PROPOSED DECISION Berkeley, CA 94704 PURSUANT TO Certificate No. G-019505 14 STIPULATION 15 Respondent. 16 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Robert Ian Picker 17 M.D. (hereinafter "respondent"), by and through his attorney 18 Dennis M. Warren and the Board of Medical Quality Assurance, 19 Division of Medical Quality, State of California (hereinafter the 20 "Board"), by and through its attorney John K. Van De Kamp, Attorney 21 General of the State of California, by Deputy Attorney General 22 John E. Barsell, Jr., as follows: 23 1. That respondent has received and read the accusation 24 which is presently on file and pending in Case No. D-3094 before

25

26

27

//

the Board.

- 2. That respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the above-mentioned accusation as grounds constituting cause for disciplinary action.
- 3. That respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained in said accusation, his right to reconsideration, to appeal and to any and all other rights which may be accorded him pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act, and that he hereby fully and voluntarily waives his right to a hearing, to reconsideration, to appeal and to any and all other rights which may be accorded him by the California Administrative Procedure Act with regard to said Accusation No. D-3094.
- 4. That Stephen R. Wilford, complainant in the case, as Acting Executive Director of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance of the State of California, made and filed the accusation in his official capacity. On November 20, 1970 respondent was issued Physician and Surgeon Certificate No. G 19505 by the Board. Since that time said license has been continuously in full force and effect.
 - 5. Respondent admits the following facts:

On March 4, 1983, in the Alameda Superior Court, in Case No. 76071, respondent was convicted of a violation of section 487.1 (grand theft) of the California Penal Code, a felony, and a violation of section 13095 (failure to supply tax information) of the Unemployment Insurance Code, a felony. Said convictions were for crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a physician and surgeon. Said convictions are

grounds for disciplinary action pursuant to sections 490 and 2236 of the Business and Professions Code. Between on or about November 1, 1979 and on or about June 24, 1982 in the County of Alameda, respondent unlawfully obtained \$49,944.10 from Blue Shield of California and the State of California by submitting false Medi-Cal claims. Such conduct is grounds for disciplinary action pursuant to section 2234(e) of the Business and Professions Code.

6. That, based on the foregoing recitals, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that the Division of Medical Quality may issue, as to said grounds for disciplinary action, the following order:

The Certificate No. G-019505, issued to the respondent, is hereby revoked; provided, however, that said revocation is stayed for a period of five (5) years, during which time respondent shall be placed on probation, subject separately and severally to the following terms and conditions:

- (a) As part of probation, respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for 30 days beginning the effective date of this decision.
- (b) A copy of the order for probation in the criminal matter referred to in paragraph 5 above is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Respondent shall make restitution as set forth in Exhibit A including any additional amounts as may be ordered by the court.
- (c) Respondent shall complete 750 hours of community volunteer work. The volunteer work as set forth in Exhibit A may be used by respondent to comply with this requirement.

TPAPER DE CALIFORNIA 13 (REV. 8.72)

- (d) Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- (e) Respondent shall comply with the Division 's probation surveillance program.
- (f) Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division's medical consultant upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
- (g) In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State, respondent must notify in writing the Division of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residency or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of this probationary period.
- (h) Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine in California.
- (i) If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- (j) Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate will be fully restored.

•	
1	7. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the terms
2	set forth herein shall be null and void, and in no way binding
3	upon the parties hereto, unless and until accepted by the Division
4	of Medical Quality, Board of Medical Quality Assurance of the
5	State of California.
6	JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General
. 7	of the State of California
8	DATED: Moule 27 1983 du Mance
9	DATED: JOHN E. BARSELL, JR.
10	Députy Attorney General
11	Attorneys for Complainant
12	
13	DATED: 11770 31,1483
14	DENNIS M. WARREN, Attorney for Respondent
15	
16	I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this stipulation and
17	agreement in its entirety; that my attorney of record has fully
18	explained the legal significance and consequences thereof; that I
19	fully understand all of the same, and in witness thereof I affix
20	my signature this 12 day of November, 1983
21	at <u>Walnut Creek</u> , California.
22	
23	DOTTO THE DICKER OF THE
24	ROBERT IAN PICKER, M.D. Respondent
:AB 27-83 25 573110 3AD02826	I agree to the amendment on page 3 changing the period of actual suspension to 90 days from 30 days.
27	DATED: 5-13 3" The case of the control of the contr
	ROBERT IAN PICKER, Respondent

5.

AT PAPER E OF CALIFORNIA 113 (REV 8-72)

04P

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

June 17,1983 Hon STANLEY P. GOLDE

K.A. Alley

Judge Deputy Sheriff Julie Rodrigues James Lee

. Deputy Clerk Reporter

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CA

Counsel approximg for Plaint.ff

Rodney Davis, Deputy Attorney General

JUN 17 1993

Counsel appearing for Defendant

Dennis Roberts, Esq.

Probation Officer appearing

Stanley Northey, Deputy

ROBERT CLAN PICKER

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:

MINUTE ORDER re: PROBATION

ACTION No. 76071 AND 458

This action comes on regularly this day for report of the Probation Officer and sentence.

2083258 CEN __

March 4,1983

a plea of nelo contendere

· X) plea of guilty

Adecision of Court

4 Everdict of jury

Livroffense g of felonies to wit: Grand Theft, a violation of Section 487.1 of the Penal Code f the State of California as charged in the 21st count of the Complaint; a violation of ection 13095 of the Unemployment Insurance Code as charged in the 23rd count of the Complaint n the motion of the District Attorney and in the furtherance of justice, the Court ordered th st thru 20th, 22nd, and 24th counts dismissed, and the cause was certified to this court for

ne Court having read and considered the report of the Probation Officer, it is now the Order of this Court that the imposition of sentence is FIVE (5) YEARS aspended for the period of

giring which time the defendant is placed under the care, custody and control of the Probation Officer of Alameda County, subject to the following erms and conditions, to-wit: Defendant shall

- months of said probationary period in the Alameda County Jail, credit for time served from , and upon release therefrom, () NOT ELIGIBLE FOR COUNTY PAROLE.
- (X) Report forthwith to the Probation Officer of Alameda County and thereafter as directed, but not less frequently than once each month.
- (X) Follow all directives of the Probation Officer.
-) Seek and maintain regular employment and or schooling and not change place of employment or residence without first obtaining the permission of the Probation Officer.
- 1) Not indulge in the use of intoxicants or visit any place where intoxicants are sold as the primary income of the business.
- (X) Obey all laws of the community and be of good conduct.
-) Not use or in any way traffic in narcotics or dangerous drugs, and not associate with any person using or in any way trafficking in narcotics or dangerous drugs.
-) Submit to such counseling, treatments or tests as directed by the Probation Officer, including Nalline and urinalysis.
-) Not associate with any person of known criminal record or tendencies.

 In the amount of \$49,944.10 payable at a monthly rate of \$400.00 on the first Make restitution to and through the Probation Officer in such monthly installments as directed by said Officer.
- of each month commencing July 1, 1983. Officer in such monthly installments as directed by the said Officer.
-) Defendant is ordered to reside in an approved live in drug program.

) If arrested, report in writing to the Probation Officer within

is approved.

days of said arrest and use true name, date of birth and address,

Defendant to remain in said program for a manimum period of

and not to leave without

() Defendant remanded into the custody of the Sheriff of Alameda County

- 1 Submit to search and seizure of; vehicle, residence, person, (delete non-applicable) by any Probation Officer or any other law enforcement officer at any time of the day or night, with or without a search warrant.
- (X) Defendant is to complete 750 hours of volunteer work at Santa Rita Jail.
- (X) Defendant to submit to audit inspection of all financial and income tax records.
- (X) The cause is ordered continued to December 12, 1983 at 9:00a.m. for Progress Report.

written permission of Probation Officer, director of said approved program and this Court